

BLOGS

A Useful and Strategic Guide for Reading and Studying Judgments for CLAT PG

Aditiya Aryan · 04 Apr 2026

The preparatory article for CLAT PG has been written by Lakshmi Priya, who secured All India Rank 800 in CLAT PG, and is an LL.M. student at RGNUL, Punjab, and an intern at CLATatalogue.

Today, preparing for the CLAT PG involves much more than just reading the bare acts, commentaries, and learning law provisions by heart. Through passage-based questions taken directly from court rulings, the test increasingly assesses critical thinking.

In addition to knowing the law, candidates must comprehend how courts interpret and apply it in actual conflicts. As a result, reading judgments is now a required academic ability rather than an option.

Legal principles are shaped by the rulings of the Supreme Court of India and the High Courts of India, and these same ideas are often the foundation of CLAT PG questions. When a student can read judgments with ease, they start to think like a lawyer, which is exactly what the test requires.

Understanding the Nature of a Judgment

A judgment is more than just a decision stating the winner or loser of a lawsuit. Facts, legal questions, both sides' arguments, statutory interpretation, judicial reasoning, and the final ruling are all included in this well-organised text.

Every element has a function. Students miss the reasoning process that is truly assessed in CLAT PG when they treat judgments as straightforward case summaries.

It is easier to develop conceptual clarity and enhance problem-solving abilities when judgments are approached as analytical texts as opposed to narratives.

Step One: Reading the Facts Like a Story

Knowing the factual context is the first step in studying any decision. The parties' requested remedies and the reasons for the conflict are explained by the facts. When facts are unclear, legal principles can seem hazy and challenging to understand.

It helps to visualise the scenario if you read this section slowly and summarise it in a few succinct lines. Every legal argument ties back to these fundamental facts, so if the tale is clear, the rest of the judgment is easier to follow.

Step Two: Identifying the Legal Issues

The next step after understanding the facts is to determine the issues before the Court, which are frequently phrased as "whether" or "the question for consideration," and are the specific legal questions that judges must address.

The entire judgment is guided by these enquiries. This stage is especially crucial for CLAT PG aspirants because a large number of exam questions are directly related to the problems raised by the court.

Understanding these issues avoids needless attention to unimportant details and aids in forecasting the principles that will surface.

Step Three: Extracting the Ratio Decidendi

The ratio decidendi, or the legally binding principle that underpins the decision, is the most important component of every ruling. In subsequent cases, subordinate courts are required to adhere to this principle.

Students should continuously consider what legal rule the court is establishing while reading, one that would apply even outside of the current case.

The ratio alone constitutes the primary takeaway, even when lengthy explanations, examples, or policy discussions may be fascinating. Retention and revision are significantly enhanced when this principle is written in one or two concise words.

Step Four: Distinguishing Obiter Dicta

Judges frequently make extra observations that aren't legally required to reach a decision. We call these obiter dicta. They offer important insights into judicial reasoning and are commonly quoted

for their persuasive power, although not legally enforceable.

Such observations are frequently used in CLAT PG passages to assess understanding. As a result, while acknowledging the importance of these supplemental statements, students should also learn to differentiate between them and the binding norm.

Step Five: Linking Judgments with Statutory Provisions

Statutes are necessary for the existence of judgments. They interpret particular articles, rules, or sections. A sharp candidate always makes a connection between the instance and the provision under discussion.

This connection enhances memory and mental comprehension. A stronger mental association is produced when an instance is remembered in conjunction with the portion it interprets, as opposed to when it is remembered separately.

This method also aids in responding to enquiries about which clause was looked at or clarified in a specific instance.

Effective Note Making Techniques

During revision, lengthy notes frequently become ineffective. A format that is clear and organised works well. Each judgment should be summed up by students using headings such as facts, issues, holdings, ratios, and pertinent provisions.

Such well-organised notes avoid information overload and speed up last-minute revision. Clarity, not number, is the aim. Pages of copied text are significantly less valuable than a few well-written sentences that capture the heart of a case.

Choosing the Right Sources for Reading Judgments

It is usually better to use real sources rather than summaries. Gaining familiarity with legal terminology and improving comprehension are two benefits of reading the original text.

The Supreme Court of India's official website and legal databases such as Indian Kanoon or SCC Online provide access to judgments. Accuracy and a deeper comprehension are ensured by direct interaction with the material.

Common Mistakes to Avoid

Many candidates commit the error of solely memorising headnotes or depending solely on summaries. Others try to read all of the judgments, which makes them tired and ineffective.

Another typical mistake is to read passively without taking notes or doing any analysis. These methods decrease retention and restrict understanding. Reading needs to be strategic, active, and centred on drawing out ideas rather than gathering data.

Building a Sustainable Study Routine

Intensity is not as crucial as consistency. Reading a few court rulings each week on a variety of topics, such as criminal, labour, environmental, commercial, and constitutional law, gradually increases confidence and knowledge.

Finding ratios and problems eventually becomes second nature. With consistent practice, judgment reading becomes a natural ability rather than a challenging activity.

Conclusion

In the end, the goal of studying judgments is to develop legal reasoning rather than just finish the curriculum. Candidates who can carefully comprehend language, apply principles, and analyse facts are rewarded by CLAT PG.

Frequent exposure to court rulings develops critical and logical thinking skills, which set toppers apart from others. Aspirants gain the knowledge and confidence necessary to succeed in the test and in their future legal careers by addressing judgments systematically and consistently.