

BLOGS

Adam v. Ward: Landmark Case on Qualified Privilege in Defamation

Khushi Malviya · 26 May 2025

The case of **Adam v. Ward** is a key precedent in English defamation law that deals with the defense of qualified privilege.

The case established important principles on when a statement, although potentially defamatory, is protected under the doctrine of qualified privilege due to a public or mutual interest in the communication.

Edit **TABLE OF CONTENTS** **Facts of the Case** **Legal Issues in Adam v. Ward** **Judgement of the Case** **Analysis of the Case** **Conclusion**

Facts of the Case

In the case of Major William Augustus Adam, a former officer in the 5th Royal Irish Lancers, he faced a challenging situation in 1906 when the Army Council requested his resignation due to unfavorable confidential reports.

When Major Adam appealed this decision and was placed on half-pay, he later became a Member of Parliament in 1910. During a parliamentary speech in June 1910, Adam accused Major-General H. J. Scobell of issuing misleading reports.

The Army Council, in response, cleared Scobell of the charges and released a letter to the press. Adam then sued Sir Edward Ward, the secretary of the Army Council, for libel. Although the trial jury initially found the publication of the letter not privileged and awarded £2,000 in damages, the Court of Appeal reversed the decision, determining that privilege applied.

Legal Issues in Adam v. Ward

- Whether the occasion of the publication of the letter by the Army Council was privileged.
- Whether there was evidence of express malice, which could negate the privilege.

- Whether the defamatory statements in the letter were strictly relevant to the subject matter that gave rise to the privilege.
- Whether the publication of the letter constituted defamation and justified the damages awarded in the initial trial.
- Whether evidence of malice on the part of the public official is relevant.
- Whether, given the circumstances, the publication of the letter was reasonably necessary for the vindication of Major-General Scobell and the Army Council.
- Whether a special relationship, such as agent and principal, is required for privilege to exist in defending others, particularly when the defense is against a public accusation.

Judgement of the Case

The House of Lords ruled in favor of Ward, finding that his statements were protected by qualified privilege. The Court's reasoning emphasized:

- **Existence of a Duty or Interest:** The Court held that Ward, acting on behalf of the War Office, had a duty to respond to Adam's criticisms to protect the reputation of the War Office and to correct potentially misleading information provided to the public.
- **Public and Mutual Interest:** The publication of the response was in the public interest since it addressed an issue affecting the military and governmental affairs. The Court recognized that in instances where a party has a legal, moral, or social duty to communicate information, qualified privilege may apply if the audience has a reciprocal interest in receiving it.
- **Absence of Malice:** Qualified privilege can only be invoked if the statement is made without malice. The Court found no evidence of malice on Ward's part, as the letter served a legitimate purpose in defending the War Office's position without an intent to harm Adam's reputation unnecessarily.

Analysis of the Case

The *Adam v. Ward* case established several important principles:

- **Qualified Privilege in Defamation Law:** Statements made in the course of fulfilling a duty or protecting a mutual interest can be shielded by qualified privilege, provided they are made without malice. This protection is critical in contexts where parties must communicate freely

to protect public or organizational interests.

- **Scope of Duty and Interest:** The decision clarified that qualified privilege applies when there is both a duty to communicate the information and a corresponding interest in the recipient receiving it. This could include matters concerning public authorities, employers, and other organizations needing to defend their conduct publicly.
- **Limits of Qualified Privilege:** While qualified privilege offers protection against defamation claims, it does not apply if malice is shown, meaning that the defendant acted with an improper motive or with intent to harm.

Conclusion

Adam v. Ward is a landmark case for the development of qualified privilege in English defamation law. The decision has broad implications, particularly in protecting individuals or entities who must communicate potentially defamatory information to defend a public or organizational interest. The ruling remains a significant reference point in defamation law, highlighting when communications may be shielded from defamation claims due to a qualified interest, provided they are made in good faith and without malice.

Read More- **Dixon v. Holden: Landmark Case on Defamation**