AILET

Can Your Pension Be Cut After You Retire? The SC on "Vested Rights"

Adtiya Aryan · 05 Nov 2025

Punjab State Coop. Agricultural Development Bank Ltd. v. Registrar, Coop. Societies ((2022) 4 SCC 363)

Introduction

Imagine working your entire life with the promise of a pension, only to be told *after* you retire that the rules have changed and your pension is being reduced. Is that fair? Is it legal?

The Supreme Court of India answered this with a definitive "No" in a landmark 2022 case. The Court held that pension is an **"accrued or vested right"** that cannot be taken away retrospectively (i.e., by applying a new rule to a past period).

The Facts of the Case

The case involved the Punjab State Cooperative Agricultural Development Bank, which had a pension scheme introduced in 1989. Employees opted into this scheme and, upon retirement, began receiving their pensions.

This continued for years. However, in 2014, the bank passed an amendment to its service rules that **modified the pension benefits to a "lesser degree."**

Crucially, the bank applied this amendment **retrospectively**, meaning it affected even those employees who had *already retired* before 2014 and were drawing their pension.

The Core Legal Issue

The central question before the Supreme Court was: Can an employer (the Bank) retrospectively amend its pension rules to the disadvantage of employees who have already retired and are receiving pension under the old rules?

Arguments of Both Sides

The **Bank** argued that it was in a poor financial state and paying the full pensions was not feasible. It claimed the pension was a “bounty” or a generous gift, not an absolute right, and could be modified.

The **Retired Employees** argued that the pension was a core part of their service conditions and a “vested right” that they had already earned. They contended that taking away this right, which they had planned their lives around, was arbitrary, unfair, and violated their fundamental rights.

The Supreme Court’s Judgment

The Supreme Court dismissed the Bank’s appeal and ruled decisively in favor of the retired employees. The judgment, authored by Justice Ajay Rastogi, made several critical points.

1. Pension is Not a Bounty: The Court forcefully stated that pension is not a “bounty” or charity. It is a “deferred salary” and a form of socio-economic security.
2. Vested Right vs. Legitimate Expectation: The Court distinguished that while a current employee’s future benefit is a “legitimate expectation” that can be changed, a retired employee’s pension is an “accrued and vested right.” It has already been earned and is legally theirs.
3. Financial Difficulty is No Excuse: The Court flatly rejected the bank’s argument about financial problems, holding that “non-availability or paucity of financial resources” can never be a valid defense for taking away the vested rights of employees.

Analysis: Why This Ruling Matters

This judgment is a significant victory for employee rights and social security in India. It establishes a strong legal protection for retirees, who are among the most vulnerable citizens.

The key takeaway is the powerful affirmation that a **promise made to an employee during their service cannot be broken after they retire.** A pension is not a bonus that can be canceled at the employer’s whim; it is an earned property right.