BLOGS

Constitutionality of Delegated Legislation

Samridhi M · 11 Mar 2026

Edit TABLE OF CONTENTS Introduction Meaning of Constitutionality of Delegated Legislation Need for Constitutionality of Delegated Legislation Constitutionality of Delegated Legislation in the Pre-Independence Era Constitutionality of Delegated Legislation in the Post-Independence Era Conclusion

Introduction

Delegated legislation refers to the transfer of rulemaking authority from the legislature to other administrative bodies or agencies. In India, the constitutionality of delegated legislation has been a subject of scrutiny.

The concept of delegated legislation can be examined in two phases: pre-independence and post-independence. This post explores the constitutionality of delegated legislation in India by analyzing relevant case laws and discussing the implications for legislative powers.

Meaning of Constitutionality of Delegated Legislation

The constitutionality of delegated legislation in India refers to the assessment of whether the transfer of rulemaking authority from the legislature to other agencies or administrative authorities complies with the provisions and principles laid out in the Indian Constitution.

It involves examining the legal framework and restrictions within which the delegation of legislative powers operates. The aim is to ensure that the delegation process adheres to constitutional principles, such as separation of powers, checks and balances, and the protection of fundamental rights.

The constitutionality of delegated legislation is a crucial aspect of governance, as it determines the legitimacy and validity of laws and regulations enacted by entities other than the legislative body.

In India, the constitutionality of delegated legislation is subject to judicial scrutiny. The courts play a vital role in interpreting the provisions of the Constitution and determining the extent and limits of delegation. They assess whether the delegation of legislative powers is within the constitutional framework and complies with the principles of reasonableness, non-arbitrariness, and fairness.

The constitutionality of delegated legislation hinges on striking a balance between granting flexibility to administrative bodies in rulemaking and ensuring that the exercise of delegated powers is accountable, transparent, and consistent with the constitutional values and objectives.

By upholding the constitutional principles and providing legal safeguards, the assessment of the constitutionality of delegated legislation safeguards the integrity and democratic foundations of India's legislative framework.

Need for Constitutionality of Delegated Legislation

- Firstly, the Constitutionality of Delegated Legislation helps preserve the balance of power between different branches of government. In many democratic countries, legislative bodies delegate their authority to administrative agencies or bodies to create detailed rules and regulations within the framework established by the Constitution. This delegation allows for efficient and specialized decision-making in areas requiring technical expertise. However, it is crucial to ensure that these delegated laws do not exceed the boundaries set by the Constitution. By subjecting delegated legislation to constitutional scrutiny, the balance of power is safeguarded, preventing any one branch, particularly the executive branch, from accumulating excessive authority and potentially abusing it.
- Secondly, the Constitutionality of Delegated Legislation serves as a safeguard for protecting individual rights. Delegated legislation can significantly impact the lives of citizens, covering various aspects such as labor rights, environmental standards, consumer protection, and more. By ensuring the constitutionality of these regulations, the rights and liberties enshrined in the Constitution, such as freedom of speech, privacy, equality, and due process, are safeguarded. The Constitutionality of Delegated Legislation provides a legal framework for citizens to challenge any provisions that infringe upon their constitutional rights. This mechanism fosters accountability and helps prevent the erosion of individual liberties.

- Lastly, the Constitutionality of Delegated Legislation contributes to upholding the rule of law. The rule of law requires that laws be clear, predictable, and accessible to all citizens. When delegated legislation conforms to the Constitution, it ensures legal certainty and consistency. By subjecting delegated laws to constitutional scrutiny, it prevents arbitrariness, discrimination, or contradictions that could undermine the rule of law.

Constitutionality of Delegated Legislation in the Pre-Independence Era

In the case of ***Queen v. Burah (1878)***, the Privy Council authorized conditional legislation by transferring legislative powers to the executive branch. This allowed the administration of civil and criminal justice in a territory to be entrusted to officials appointed by the Lieutenant-Governor.

However, the Privy Council emphasized that this was not delegated legislation but an example of an exceptional legislative authority where local administrative bodies determined the local application of state legislation based on necessity.

In the case of ***King v. Banwari Lal Sharma***, the Privy Council again applied the concept of conditional legislation. The validity of the Governor-General's Emergency Ordinance was challenged as an attempt to usurp power from the Provincial Government.

The Privy Council ruled that this was not delegated legislation but a typical legislative authority where a local administrative body decided the applicability of a statute's provisions based on necessity.

Constitutionality of Delegated Legislation in the Post-Independence Era

The Indian Constitution does not explicitly address the concept of delegated legislation, but it can be inferred through the interpretation of **Article 312**. This article empowers the Rajya Sabha to create a fresh division of the All India Service, indicating the delegation of legislative powers to the newly appointed members of the service.

The Supreme Court of India, in the case of ***Raj Narain Singh v. Chairman, Patna Administration Committee (1954)***, upheld the delegation of power conferred to the executive

body by the legislative body. This case empowered the local government to extend provisions of the Bengal Municipality Act.

It established that while there are no express prohibitions on the legislature's power to delegate, two constitutional limitations exist: the legislature cannot delegate its essential legislative functions, and the power conferred on a subordinate authority or executive body should not suffer from excessive delegation.

In ***State of Sikkim v. Surendra Sharma***, the case emphasized that any rule issued as a result of authority conferred by the Constitution's Article 371F is considered subordinate legislation. This case highlighted the recognition that certain provisions within the Indian Constitution confer authority for the creation of subordinate legislation and provide a framework for its constitutionality.

In ***Hinsa Virodhak Sangh v. Mirzapur Moti Kuresh Jamat***, the court highlighted the requirement of clear evidence of a violation of constitutional provisions beyond a reasonable doubt for an act to be declared unconstitutional. This case emphasized the importance of establishing a strong constitutional basis and meeting the burden of proof when challenging the constitutionality of an act or legislation.

These case laws collectively shed light on the constitutionality of delegated legislation in India and provide insights into the principles and limitations associated with the delegation of legislative powers.

Conclusion

The constitutionality of delegated legislation in India revolves around the restrictions placed within the constitution on the legislature's ability to transfer rulemaking authority. Delegation of legislation aims to empower the government in dealing with socio-economic challenges promptly.

While the Indian Constitution does not explicitly mention delegated legislation, its existence can be inferred from relevant case laws and interpretations. The judiciary plays a crucial role in determining the boundaries of delegated legislation by applying general principles and constitutional law theories. It is imperative to strike a balance between granting administrative flexibility and ensuring the accountability and constitutionality of delegated legislation.