BLOGS

Shatrughan Chauhan v. Union of India: Landmark Case on Death Penalty and the Right to Life of Convicts

Khushi Malviya · 06 Feb 2025

Shatrughan Sinha v. Union of India is a significant ruling by the Supreme Court of India concerning death penalty in India for convicts and their rights. Read this post to learn more about the case!

Introduction

The 2014 Supreme Court case of *Shatrughan Chauhan v. Union of India* is a landmark judgment that delves deep into the complexities surrounding the death penalty in India. It grapples with questions of human dignity, mental health, and the impact of prolonged delays in executing death sentences.

The Court's decision to commute the death sentences of 15 convicts, primarily due to inordinate delays and mental illness, sparked significant debate and brought much-needed attention to the plight of death row inmates.

Background of the Case

The case involved 15 convicts who had been sentenced to death for various crimes. They had spent years languishing on death row, their appeals and mercy petitions exhausted.

The central issue before the Supreme Court was whether the inordinate delay in their executions, coupled with the mental and physical deterioration they suffered during this period, constituted "supervening circumstances" warranting the commutation of their death sentences to life imprisonment.

Key Issues Addressed

The Court recognized that prolonged delays in executing death sentences, especially when caused by factors outside the control of the convict, could amount to cruel and unusual punishment, violating Article 21 of the Constitution (Right to Life). It held that such delays could have a devastating impact on the mental and physical health of prisoners, leading to “death row phenomenon.”

The Court acknowledged that mental illness developed during the prolonged period of incarceration on death row could be a mitigating factor warranting commutation. It emphasized the need for regular mental health assessments of death row inmates and the importance of considering their mental state at the time of execution.

The Court reiterated that even prisoners sentenced to death retain their fundamental right to life and human dignity. It stressed that the manner of execution should be humane and dignified, and that prolonged delays and mental suffering could undermine these principles.

The Court’s Observations:

The Supreme Court’s judgment in *Shatrughan Chauhan* is notable for its emphasis on human dignity, mental health, and the need for a humane approach to the death penalty.

Some key observations from the judgment include:

- “The death sentence must be carried out expeditiously. Undue, inordinate and unreasonable delay in execution of death sentence has a dehumanizing effect and will certainly fall foul of Article 21.”
- “Mental illness developed during the long period of incarceration on death row can be a ground for commutation of death sentence.”
- “The ‘death row phenomenon’ is a distinct and identifiable phenomenon. It is a complex interaction of various factors and its consequences on the condemned prisoner awaiting execution are severe.”
- “The right to life enshrined in Article 21 is the most precious human right. It is the foundation of all other rights. It cannot be extinguished by a process which is unduly long, uncertain and dehumanizing.”

Impact and Significance of the Judgement

The *Shatrughan Chauhan* case had a significant impact on the legal landscape concerning the death penalty in India:

- Delay as a Ground for Commutation: It firmly established inordinate delay as a valid ground for commuting death sentences to life imprisonment. This has led to several subsequent cases where death sentences have been commuted based on this principle.
- Focus on Mental Health: It brought the issue of mental health of death row inmates to the forefront, prompting greater attention to their psychological well-being and the need for regular mental health assessments.
- Humanization of Death Penalty: It reinforced the importance of human dignity in the context of the death penalty, emphasizing the need for a humane and dignified approach even in the execution of convicts.
- Policy Implications: The judgment has had significant policy implications, prompting the government to issue guidelines for safeguarding the interests of death row convicts, including regular mental health evaluations and expedited disposal of mercy petitions.

Criticisms and Challenges

Despite its positive impact, the *Shatrughan Chauhan* judgment has also faced certain criticisms:

- Determining what constitutes “inordinate delay” can be subjective and open to interpretation, leading to inconsistencies in its application.
- Implementing the guidelines for safeguarding the interests of death row convicts, such as providing adequate mental health care, can be challenging due to resource constraints in the prison system.
- The judgment also raises complex questions about balancing the rights of convicts with the rights of victims and the interests of society in ensuring justice.

Conclusion

Shatrughan Chauhan v. Union of India judgement represents a significant milestone in the evolution of jurisprudence on the death penalty in India for convicts. It highlights the importance of human dignity, mental health, and the need for a humane approach to punishment. The judgment has had a far-reaching impact, prompting greater scrutiny of death penalty cases and

leading to improvements in the treatment of death row inmates. However, challenges remain in ensuring consistent application of the principles laid down in this case and in balancing the rights of convicts with the broader interests of justice.

Read More: Death Penalty in India