

BLOGS

# Defection or Merger? Understanding Paragraph 4 of the Tenth Schedule

Hanspal Bakul · 14 Aug 2026

---

Six Shiv Sena (UBT) MPs have crossed over to the Shinde camp, and the question of whether this counts as a genuine “merger” is now before the Supreme Court. For CLAT PG aspirants, it’s a live test of how far Paragraph 4 of the Tenth Schedule really stretches.

## The Trigger

On 22nd June 2026, six Lok Sabha MPs from Uddhav Thackeray’s Shiv Sena (UBT) shifted to Eknath Shinde’s faction, claiming their numbers met the two-thirds threshold for a valid merger. Speaker Om Birla agreed and recognised the merger, cutting the party’s Lok Sabha strength from nine MPs to three.

## The Legal Question

Shiv Sena (UBT) argues that Paragraph 4 requires a merger of the **original political party**, not just its legislative wing. A group of MPs, they say, cannot merge a party on their own. They can only accept or reject a merger the party itself has initiated.

So the real question is this: **can a two-thirds legislative majority *be* the merger, or does it always need the parent party’s consent?**

*The **Tenth Schedule (Anti-Defection Law)**, added by the **52nd Amendment (1985)**, deals with disqualification of legislators on grounds of defection under Articles 102(2) and 191(2). A member gets **disqualified** if he voluntarily gives up party membership or votes against party whip without prior permission/condonation within 15 days.*

## Why Paragraph 4 Exists

*The Tenth Schedule punishes legislators who switch sides for personal gain. Paragraph 4 is a narrow exception. If two-thirds of a legislative party agrees to merge with another party, none of*

them faces disqualification.

The problem is telling a genuine political realignment apart from a convenient legislative headcount.

★ **4. Disqualification on ground of defection not to apply in case of merger.**

**① When it does NOT apply**

A member shall **not be disqualified** under sub-paragraph (1) of paragraph 2 when his original political party merges with another political party and he claims that he and any other members of his original political party –

**a** have become members of such other political party or, as the case may be, of a new political party formed by such merger;

**b** have not accepted the merger and opted to function as a **separate group**.

**✓ Effect from the time of merger**

From the time of such merger, such other political party or new political party or group, as the case may be, shall be **deemed to be the political party to which he belongs** for the purposes of sub-paragraph (1) of paragraph 2 and to be his **original political party** for the purposes of this sub-paragraph.

**② Condition for merger**



For the purposes of sub-paragraph (1) of this paragraph, the merger of the original political party of a member of a House shall be deemed to have taken place if, and only if, **not less than two-thirds** of the members of the legislature party concerned have agreed to such merger.



Source: 10th schedule to the Indian Constitution

## Two Precedents to Know

**Girish Chodankar v. Speaker, Goa Assembly (2022)** held a two-thirds breakaway group can validly merge. **Subhash Desai v. Principal Secretary, Maharashtra (2023)** went further. It held that after Paragraph 3's deletion, a legislative split alone is no defence. The real party must be identified by its constitution and leadership, not by numbers.

Subhash Desai itself grew out of the 2022 Shiv Sena split, making this dispute feel like a sequel.

## Where It Stands

The Supreme Court issued notice on 22nd July 2026 in a plea by MP Arvind Ganpat Sawant, but declined interim relief. Senior advocate Devadatt Kamat urged the bench to treat it as a matter of constitutional importance.

*Does Paragraph 4 protect legislators the moment numbers cross two-thirds, or does it need a real merger at the party's organisational core? No matter which way the Court leans, this case looks set to shape the future of anti-defection law, and it's a strong pick for CLAT PG 2027.*