

Inchoate Offences under Indian Penal Code

Khushi Malviya · 07 Jun 2024

Edit TABLE OF CONTENTS Introduction Legal Background of Inchoate Offences Types of Inchoate Offences Defences to Inchoate Crimes Conclusion

Introduction

Inchoate offences, often known as incomplete crimes, involve actions like attempted murder, conspiracy, attempt to suicide, or abetment to murder. Despite being unfinished, these offences are punishable under the law, emphasizing the preventive aspect of crime control.

The term “inchoate” signifies the unfinished or underdeveloped nature of these acts, which occur in the process of committing a final crime, aiding or abetting its commission. Unlike complete offences, inchoate offences fulfill the mens rea (guilty intention) but lack the Actus reus (actual commission of the act). This legal concept reflects the idea that preventing a crime is preferable to punishing it after completion.

Legal Background of Inchoate Offences

Inchoate crimes refer to unfinished criminal acts that, due to various reasons, couldn't be completed. The term “inchoate” originates from the Latin word meaning ‘begun,’ signifying acts close to the commission of an offense.

There are four stages in criminal law:

- Mens rea (mental element)

- Preparation
- Action based on preparation
- Commission of an act punishable by law.

Legal liability typically arises in the latter two stages, and offences in the mental and preparatory stages may not result in guilt. Section 511 of the IPC acknowledges inchoate crimes, awarding lesser punishment as the intended harm wasn't as severe as if the crime had been completed. Inchoate crimes, like attempts, are treated less severely than the final offense, recognizing that the act did not reach full fruition.

Types of Inchoate Offences

1. Abetment: Abetment, as defined in *Chapter V of the Indian Penal Code (IPC)*, occurs when an individual encourages, instigates, or aids another in committing a crime. This includes variations such as abetment by incitement, where willful incitement or support for a crime is required, and abetment by conspiracy, which has a narrower scope than a criminal conspiracy.

2. Attempt: Attempt, found in *Chapter XXIII of the IPC*, involves directing actions towards committing a crime after the preparation stage, without the actual commission. The IPC treats attempted offenses differently: some are punished similarly to completed offenses, some are treated separately, and others fall under Section 511 for offenses not explicitly covered.

3. Conspiracy: Conspiracy, outlined in *Chapter V, Sections 120 A and 120 B of the IPC*, occurs when two or more individuals agree to commit an illegal act and take steps towards its completion. Notably, a person can be charged with both conspiracy and the completed crime if the latter is successful, distinguishing it from attempt.

Defences to Inchoate Crimes

1. **Abandonment:** In this defence, the accused asserts that they voluntarily abandoned efforts to commit the crime after planning. To establish abandonment, the person must show a complete cessation of involvement, attempts to halt the ongoing crime, or efforts to dissuade others involved. For example, if a person plans a burglary, completes the preparation, but decides to abandon the act and reports it to the police, they may use abandonment as a defence.
2. **Impossibility:**

1. **Legal Impossibility:** The defendant argues that the intended act or attempted act is not a crime under the law. For instance, if a hunter unintentionally injures a person while hunting, and it is not considered attempted murder.
2. **Factual Impossibility:** The defendant claims that circumstances render the commission of the crime impossible. For example, if a person plans to demolish a property, but it is destroyed by a natural calamity before the act, making the crime impossible. This defence may not be universally accepted, as some courts consider the individual's intention despite the impossibility.

Conclusion

Inchoate offences present a unique legal challenge, embodying the idea that preventive measures are as crucial as punitive actions. Despite being incomplete, these offenses, such as abetment, attempt, conspiracy, and solicitation, are punishable, emphasizing the significance of thwarting criminal activities early in their development.

The legal landscape surrounding inchoate crimes recognizes the nuances in different stages, with legal liability primarily attaching in the latter stages of preparation and action. Defenses such as abandonment and impossibility provide avenues for accused individuals to contest charges, acknowledging circumstances where criminal intentions did not fully materialize. In the delicate balance between intent and action, the legal system navigates the complexities of inchoate crimes, promoting a nuanced approach that considers both prevention and justice.