BLOGS

MCQs on the Indian Penal Code

Ruchika Mohapatra · 26 May 2023

Read the passages and answer the following questions.

Passage 1

One of the prominent sections of the Indian Penal Code (IPC) is Section 302, which deals with the offense of murder. Murder is a grave crime that involves the intentional and unlawful killing of another person. Section 302 provides the legal framework to prosecute and punish individuals responsible for committing this heinous act.

According to Section 302 of the IPC, a person is said to have committed murder if they cause the death of another person with the intention of causing death or with the intention of causing bodily injury that is likely to result in death. The section recognizes the importance of intent and distinguishes murder from other offenses involving unlawful killings.

Section 302 encompasses various aspects related to murder, including different types of murder such as premeditated murder, murder by sudden provocation, and murder committed in furtherance of certain offenses. It also outlines the punishments that can be imposed for the offense of murder, which may range from life imprisonment to the death penalty depending on the circumstances and gravity of the crime.

The objective of Section 302 is to establish a strong deterrent against the act of murder and ensure that those who commit such acts face appropriate consequences. The law seeks to protect the sanctity of human life and provide justice to the victim and their family members. It also serves as a mechanism to maintain law and order within society by punishing individuals who unlawfully take the lives of others.

Question 1. Suppose a person is found guilty of murder under Section 302 of the IPC. The court imposes a sentence of life imprisonment. In such a scenario, which of the following factors could have influenced the court's decision instead of awarding the death penalty?

a) The presence of mitigating circumstances such as the offender's age or mental state.

b) The absence of any previous criminal record of the offender.

c) The victim's family expressing forgiveness and requesting a lenient sentence.

d) The public outcry and media attention surrounding the case.

Question 2. Imagine a situation where a person is accused of murder but claims to have committed the act in self-defense. The accused presents evidence suggesting that they were under imminent threat and had no other option but to cause the death of the attacker. In light of this defense, what factors would the court consider in determining the applicability of Section 302 in this case?

a) The reasonableness of the belief in the necessity of self-defense.

b) The level of force used in proportion to the perceived threat.

c) The presence of any prior altercation or enmity between the accused and the victim.

d) The extent of injuries sustained by both the accused and the alleged attacker.

Passage 2

Sedition, as defined under the Indian Penal Code (IPC), is a criminal offense aimed at addressing acts that incite violence, create public disorder, or attempt to overthrow the duly established government. Section 124A of the IPC outlines the offense of sedition, which involves any words, signs, or representations that promote disaffection against the government, the state, or incite hatred or contempt towards its lawful authorities.

The primary objective of the sedition law is to maintain public order, national security, and protect the sovereignty of the nation. However, it is essential to strike a balance between preserving national interests and upholding the fundamental right to freedom of expression, ensuring that legitimate criticism and dissent do not unjustly fall under the ambit of sedition.

Question 3. Under the IPC, which of the following acts may be considered seditious?

a) Criticizing government policies in a peaceful protest.

b) Writing an article that strongly disagrees with the government.

c) Inciting violence and calling for the overthrow of the government.

d) Expressing dissatisfaction with the ruling party on social media.

Question 4. According to the sedition law in the IPC, what is the required intent for an act to be considered seditious?

a) Intent to criticize the government's policies.

b) Intent to promote public unrest and disorder.

c) Intent to express dissenting opinions.

d) Intent to peacefully protest against government actions.

Question 5. A group of individuals organizes a peaceful protest against a controversial government decision. During the protest, they chant slogans criticizing the government. Are they liable for sedition under the IPC?

a) Yes, because they are criticizing the government.

b) No, because their protest is peaceful.

c) Yes, because they are causing public unrest.

d) No, because freedom of speech allows criticism of the government.

Question 6. A person shares a post on social media expressing strong disagreement with a government policy and urging others to join them in peaceful protests. Can this person be charged with sedition under the IPC?

a) Yes, because they are criticizing the government.

b) No, because they are promoting peaceful protests.

c) Yes, because their post may incite public unrest.

d) No, because freedom of expression allows criticism of the government.

Question 7. A journalist publishes an investigative report revealing government corruption. The report is critical of the ruling party. Can the journalist be charged with sedition under the IPC?

a) Yes, because the report criticizes the government.

b) No, because journalists have the right to expose corruption.

c) Yes, if the report incites violence against the government.

d) No, because sedition does not apply to journalistic work.

Question 8. The offence of sedition is cognizable, which means:

a) A warrant is not required for arrest

b) It can be tried by a lower court

c) It is a non-bailable offence

d) It is a bailable offence

Question 9. Which case led to the landmark judgment of the Supreme Court in 1962 regarding the offense of sedition?

a) Kedar Nath Singh v. State of Bihar

b) Indira Gandhi v. Raj Narain

c) S.R. Bommai v. Union of India

d) Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India

Question 10. Which of the following is NOT a defense available in a sedition case?

a) Truth as a justification

b) Public interest as a defense

c) Consent of the government

d) Freedom of speech and expression

Answers

1. a
2. a
3. c
4. b
5. b

6. d
7. b
8. a
9. a
10. c

Check out this space for more such sample papers!