

NOTES

Maintenance of Hindu Wife

Hanspal Bakul · 18 Sep 2026

The maintenance of Hindu wife under Indian personal law spans multiple statutes, including the Hindu Adoptions and Maintenance Act, 1956, the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, and Section 125 of the CrPC, each offering distinct yet overlapping remedies.

*For CLAT PG aspirants, understanding how these provisions interact, along with landmark rulings like *Rajnish v. Neha*, is essential to mastering this frequently tested area of family law.*

Statutory Framework

Maintenance of a Hindu wife draws from multiple overlapping sources, primarily the *Hindu Adoptions and Maintenance Act, 1956*, the *Hindu Marriage Act, 1955*, and the secular remedy under **Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973**, now **Section 144 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023**.

Each source operates independently, allowing a Hindu wife to invoke whichever remedy best serves her circumstances, and courts have consistently held that availing one remedy does not automatically bar recourse to another, though amounts already paid under one are generally adjusted against liability under the other.

Right to Maintenance under Section 18 of HAMA

Section 18(1) of the Hindu Adoptions and Maintenance Act, 1956 entitles a Hindu wife to claim maintenance from her husband during her lifetime, regardless of whether the marriage was solemnised before or after the Act came into force.

This right exists independently of any matrimonial proceedings, meaning a wife need not seek divorce, judicial separation, or restitution of conjugal rights to claim maintenance under this provision, since the obligation flows directly from the marital relationship itself.

Grounds for Living Separately: Section 18(2)

Section 18(2) entitles *a Hindu wife to live separately from her husband without forfeiting her claim to maintenance, provided she establishes one of several specified grounds.*

These include

- *Husband's desertion or wilful neglect,*
- *Cruelty causing reasonable apprehension of harm,*
- *Husband having another wife living,*
- *Husband keeping a concubine in the same house or habitually residing with one elsewhere,*
- *Husband ceasing to be a Hindu by conversion, and*
- *Any other cause justifying the wife's living separately.*

Section 18(3) carves out a significant limitation, providing that a wife is not entitled to separate residence and maintenance if she is unchaste or has ceased to be a Hindu by conversion to another religion.

This provision has attracted criticism for its moralistic tone, though courts have generally construed the unchastity bar narrowly, requiring clear proof rather than mere suspicion or allegation.

Determination of the Amount: Section 23

Section 23 guides courts in determining the quantum of maintenance, directing them to have due regard to the position and status of the parties, the reasonable wants of the claimant, whether the claimant is justified in living separately, the value of the claimant's property and income, and the number of persons the respondent is obligated to maintain.

Courts exercise considerable discretion under this provision, and the determination remains *fact-specific rather than governed by any fixed formula*, though appellate courts have increasingly emphasised proportionality between the sums awarded and the paying spouse's actual income.

Maintenance under the Hindu Marriage Act: Sections 24 and 25

Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 empowers courts to award maintenance *pendente lite*, meaning interim maintenance and litigation expenses to a spouse lacking independent income sufficient for support during the pendency of any matrimonial proceeding under the Act.

Since the provision applies to either spouse, a wife may claim interim maintenance regardless of whether she is the petitioner or respondent in the underlying matrimonial suit.

Section 25 provides for permanent alimony and maintenance, empowering courts, at the time of passing any decree or subsequently, to order either spouse to pay the other a gross sum or periodical payment, having regard to the respondent's income and property, the claimant's income and property, the conduct of the parties, and other relevant circumstances.

This maintenance may take the form of a lump sum or periodic payments, and Section 25(2) allows courts to vary, modify, or rescind the order upon a material change in circumstances of either party.

Maintenance under Section 125 CrPC

Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 offers a secular, religion-neutral remedy available to any wife, including a Hindu wife, unable to maintain herself, allowing her to claim maintenance from a husband possessing sufficient means who neglects or refuses to maintain her.

This remedy operates as a summary and expeditious procedure designed to prevent vagrancy and destitution, and it remains available **irrespective of the personal law governing the parties' marriage**.

A divorced wife who has not remarried also falls within the definition of "wife" under this provision, entitling her to claim maintenance even after the dissolution of marriage, provided she remains unable to maintain herself.

Interplay between HAMA and Section 125

CrPC

Courts have consistently held that the ***right to maintenance under Section 18 of HAMA and the remedy under Section 125 CrPC are not mutually exclusive***, and a Hindu wife may pursue both, though amounts recovered under one head are typically set off against liability under the other to prevent double recovery.

Section 125 provides a summary, interim remedy usually granted swiftly, while Section 18 permits a more comprehensive adjudication of maintenance based on detailed evidence of income, status, and conduct, making the two provisions complementary rather than competing remedies.

Rajnish v. Neha: Uniform Guidelines on

Maintenance

In ***Rajnish v. Neha***, the Supreme Court addressed the widespread problem of overlapping maintenance claims filed under different statutes and the resulting confusion, delay, and inconsistency across courts.

The Court directed that parties file comprehensive affidavits of disclosure of assets and liabilities in a prescribed format, aimed at preventing both the suppression of income by the paying spouse and inflated or unsubstantiated claims by the recipient spouse.

The Court further laid down criteria for determining the quantum of maintenance, including the status of the parties, reasonable needs of the claimant and dependent children, the claimant's educational qualifications and employment prospects, income and assets of both parties, and the liabilities of the respondent.

It also clarified that where maintenance is granted under multiple proceedings, the court must adjust the amount already paid under an earlier order against the amount payable under a subsequent one, ensuring the wife receives fair support without permitting duplication of recovery.

Maintenance Pending Litigation: Interim Relief

Principles

Courts granting interim maintenance under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act generally apply a lower evidentiary threshold than for permanent alimony under Section 25, since the purpose of interim relief is to ensure the claimant is not left without means to litigate or subsist during the pendency of proceedings.

The Delhi High Court, in ***Bharat Hegde v. Saroj Hegde***, laid down eleven factors relevant to determining interim maintenance, including the status of the parties, reasonable needs of the wife and dependent children, and the independent income or property of the claimant, factors that have since guided courts across jurisdictions in assessing interim maintenance applications.

Right to Residence and Its Relationship with Maintenance

Although maintenance and the right to residence are conceptually distinct, they often arise together in *matrimonial disputes*, since a wife living separately under Section 18(2) of HAMA necessarily requires alternative accommodation.

Courts have recognised that the obligation to maintain a wife implicitly includes providing for her reasonable residential needs, whether through direct provision of a residence or through a maintenance amount sufficient to cover reasonable housing expenses, reinforcing that ***maintenance under Hindu law extends beyond bare subsistence to a standard of living commensurate with the parties' social and economic status.***

Maintenance of Hindu Wife

KEY PROVISIONS • INTERPLAY • LANDMARK CASES

1 Concept & Sources

- Maintenance for a Hindu wife flows from multiple statutes – HAMA, 1955; HAMA, 1956; and Sec. 125 CrPC (now Sec. 144 BNSS, 2023).
- These remedies are independent and complementary.
- Availing one does not bar the other, though amounts are generally adjusted.



2 Right under Sec. 18 HAMA

- Entitles a Hindu wife to maintenance during her lifetime, irrespective of when the marriage was solemnised.
- No need to seek divorce, judicial separation or restitution – the right flows from the marital relationship.



3 Grounds for Living Separately (Sec. 18(2))

- Husband's desertion / wilful neglect
- Cruelty causing reasonable apprehension of harm
- Husband having another wife
- Husband keeping a concubine / residing with one
- Husband ceasing to be a Hindu (conversion)
- Any other cause justifying separate residence



Sec. 18(3): No maintenance if wife is unchaste or has converted to another religion (interpreted narrowly).

4 Determination of Amount (Sec. 23)

Courts consider:

- Status and position of parties
- Reasonable wants of the claimant
- Justification for living separately
- Claimant's property and income
- Respondent's obligation to maintain others



No fixed formula – it's fact-specific, with proportionality.

5 Maintenance under HMA (Ss. 24 & 25)

- Sec. 24 – Maintenance pendente lite (interim relief + litigation expenses).
- Applies to either spouse.
- Sec. 25 – Permanent alimony/maintenance (lump sum or periodic).
- Courts consider income, property, conduct, and other relevant factors. Can be varied or rescinded on change of circumstances.



6 Maintenance under Sec. 125 CrPC

- Secular, religion-neutral remedy for any wife unable to maintain herself.
- Available against a husband with sufficient means who neglects/refuses maintenance.
- Summary & expeditious procedure.
- Divorced wife (not remarried) is also covered.



7 Interplay: HAMA & Sec. 125

- Not mutually exclusive.
- Both can be pursued; amounts are set off to avoid double recovery.
- Sec. 125 – quick, interim relief.
- Sec. 18 – detailed adjudication based on evidence of income, status and conduct.



8 Rajnesh v. Neha

- File comprehensive affidavits of assets & liabilities (in prescribed format).
- Court laid down criteria for quantum of maintenance (status, needs, education, income, assets, liabilities).
- Amount paid under earlier order must be adjusted against a later one.



9 Maintenance Pending Litigation (Sec. 24 HMA)

- Lower evidentiary threshold than permanent alimony (Sec. 25).
- Purpose: ensure claimant is not left without means to litigate or subsist.
- Bharat Hegde v. Saroj Hegde – 11 factors to consider (status, needs, children, income, etc.).



10 Right to Residence & Maintenance

- Conceptually distinct, but often arise together in matrimonial disputes.
- Living separately under Sec. 18(2) requires alternative accommodation.
- Maintenance includes reasonable housing needs – through direct provision or sufficient allowance.
- Extends beyond bare subsistence to a standard commensurate with the parties' status.



🌿 Fair Support • Dignity • Justice 🌿