BLOGS

Position of Karta Under Hindu Law

Indrasish Majumder · 08 Jun 2023

Edit TABLE OF CONTENTS Introduction Powers of Karta Duties of a Karta Case Laws related to Karta Conclusion

Introduction

Karta is a term used in Hindu law and is the eldest male member of a Hindu joint family. Karta has various powers and obligations that are associated with the management and administration of the family's affairs.

If the coparceners agree, then a junior can also become a Karta of the family. According to Dharmastra, if there is an absence of the male member in a family, then a female can act as a Karta. If in case male members are present but they are minors, then also females can act as Karta.

In this article, we will explore the powers and obligations of Karta, along with relevant case laws.

The Powers of Karta

- Management of the Family

The Karta is responsible for the management of the family and has the power to take decisions that benefit the family as a whole. This includes maintaining order and discipline within the family and resolving any disputes.

- Power to Enter into a Contract

The Karta has the power to enter into contracts on behalf of the family. However, it is important to note that any contracts entered into by the Karta must be for the benefit of the family and not for personal gain.

- Power to Alienate

The Karta has the power to alienate the family property. However, this power must be exercised only in cases of legal necessity or for the benefit of the family. Any alienation without legal necessity is not valid under Hindu law.

In ***V.V.V. Ramaraju and Others vs. Korada Malleswara Rao***, it was established that alienation under certain circumstances would be binding on all members of a Hindu joint family, including minors. This was determined in ***CIT v. Gangadhar Sikaria Family Trust***, where the court held that the transfer is not void ab initio if not for legal necessity or estate benefit (Mukhtiar Singh v. Amarjit Singh).

In Hindu law, the concepts of legal necessity and benefit of the estate are crucial in determining the validity of an alienation of joint family property. The interpretation of the word “necessity” varies from case to case and depends on the circumstances. However, it can be generally said that the term refers to things that are considered essential for the welfare of family members.

In the case of ***Dev Kishan v. Ram Kishan***, the Karta of a Hindu joint family mortgaged and sold the family property to finance the marriage of his two minor daughters. The court held that such action was unlawful alienation as it violated the ***Child Marriage Restraint Act, 1929***. This decision shows that even if the Karta believes that a particular action is necessary for the family’s well-being, it must still comply with relevant laws.

On the other hand, anything done in furtherance of the benefit of the joint family is considered to be for the benefit of the estate. In the landmark case of ***Balmukund v. Kamlavati***, the Supreme Court held that anything done for the positive benefit of the estate falls under the benefit of the estate. This broad definition includes not only actions that directly enhance the family’s property but also those that improve the general welfare of the members.

While legal necessity refers to actions that are essential for the family’s welfare, benefit of the estate refers to actions that enhance the family’s property or improve the general welfare of the members. The scope of these concepts depends on the circumstances of each case, and the Karta has a fiduciary duty to act in the best interests of the family.

- Power to Represent the Family

The Karta has the power to represent the family in legal proceedings. This includes the power to appoint a lawyer on behalf of the family and to take decisions that benefit the family in legal matters.

- Power to Manage Joint Family Property

The Karta has the power to manage the joint family property, including the power to collect rent, lease out the property, and make improvements to the property.

The Obligations of Karta

- Duty to Maintain the Family

The Karta has the duty to maintain all family members, including providing for their food, clothing, and shelter. The Karta is also responsible for providing education and medical care for the family members.

- Duty to Protect the Family Property

The Karta has the duty to protect the family property and ensure that it is not misused or alienated without legal necessity. The Karta is also responsible for ensuring that the property is not sold or transferred to individuals who are not members of the joint family.

- Duty to Pay Debts

The Karta has the duty to pay off any family debts and ensure that the family does not incur debts beyond its means. This includes ensuring that the family's financial affairs are managed responsibly and that any debts are paid off in a timely manner.

- Duty to Preserve Family Traditions

The Karta has the duty to preserve family traditions and culture. This includes ensuring that family customs and practises are followed and passed on to the next generation.

Case Laws related to Karta

The Karta is the senior-most male member of a Hindu joint family who manages the family and its property. They have a fiduciary relationship with the other family members and unlimited liability, except in cases of fraud or misappropriation. However, a junior member can become the Karta if all coparceners agree, as seen in ***Nopany Investments (Pvt) Ltd. v. Santokh Singh***, where the Karta's younger brother was appointed with consent from all members.

Prior to the ***Hindu Succession Amendment Act of 2005***, Hindu females were not allowed to be coparceners in a joint Hindu family. However, after the amendment, daughters are also permitted to be co-owners of joint family property. In the older view, as seen in ***Gangoli Rao vs. Chinnappa***, the Supreme Court ruled that women cannot be coparceners or Karta, a decision later upheld in ***Income Tax vs. Seth Govind Ram***. However, in the modern view, as seen in ***Mrs. Sujata Sharma vs. Shri Manu Gupta***, the Delhi High Court expanded the scope of ***Section 6*** of the Hindu Succession Act, 1956, allowing females to become the Karta of the family.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the Karta plays a crucial role in the administration of a Hindu joint family. The Karta has various powers and obligations that are associated with the management of the family and its property. It is important for the Karta to exercise these powers and fulfil these obligations responsibly and in accordance with the principles of Hindu law.

It is important for the Karta to seek legal advice and guidance when making decisions that impact the family and its property. This will ensure that the decisions taken by the Karta are legally valid and in the best interests of the family.

In conclusion, the role of the karta is an important one in Hindu joint families. The Karta must exercise their powers and fulfil their obligations responsibly and in accordance with the principles of Hindu law. By doing so, the Karta can ensure the well-being of the family and its property for generations to come.