

IPC Notes- Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code

Samridhi M · 09 Jun 2023

Edit TABLE OF CONTENTS Introduction Reason for addition of Section 498A Ingredients of Section 498A Examples and Illustrations of Section 498A Bail in Section 498A Latest Case Laws on Section 498A of IPC Misuse of Section 498A Conclusion

Introduction

Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code (IPC) is a crucial legal provision that aims to protect married women from cruelty inflicted upon them by their husbands or their relatives. This section was introduced in 1983 as an amendment to the IPC and addresses the pervasive problem of domestic violence and dowry-related offenses in India.

It serves as a powerful tool to empower women, deter offenders, and promote gender justice. In this essay, we will delve into the ingredients of Section 498A, provide examples and illustrations, and conclude with an analysis of its significance.

Reason for addition of Section 498A

Prior to 1983, there was no specific provision in Indian law that specifically addressed domestic abuse or cruelty within the context of marriage. The Amendment to the Indian Penal Code in 1983 brought about a significant change by introducing Section 498A, which aimed to tackle the issue of “matrimonial cruelty” against female spouses.

The inclusion of [Section 498A in the IPC](#) marked a crucial milestone in recognizing the pervasive problem of domestic violence and dowry-related offenses faced by married women in India. It acknowledged that women within the institution of marriage were particularly vulnerable to abuse and required legal protection.

Ingredients of Section 498A

1. **Cruelty:** The first element of Section 498A involves subjecting a woman to cruelty. Cruelty can be defined as any act that causes physical, mental, or emotional harm or harassment. It includes both physical violence and mental torture, such as constant harassment, verbal abuse, threats, or humiliation. The term “cruelty” is comprehensive, encompassing various forms of abuse that can be inflicted upon a married woman.
2. **Woman married to the accused:** The provision applies when the victim is a woman who is married to the accused. It recognizes the vulnerability of women within the institution of marriage and provides them with legal protection against cruelty inflicted by their husbands or their relatives. **Husband or relative of the husband:** Section 498A applies not only to the husband but also to his relatives who engage in acts of cruelty against the woman. This provision acknowledges that domestic violence often extends beyond the immediate perpetrator and includes other family members who contribute to the abuse or facilitate it.
3. **Cruelty in connection with demand for dowry:** Another crucial aspect of Section 498A is that the cruelty must be connected to a demand for dowry. Dowry is a social evil in India where the bride’s family is expected to provide significant gifts or wealth to the groom’s family upon marriage. Section 498A recognizes that dowry-related offenses are often accompanied by cruelty and seeks to combat this form of abuse.

Examples and Illustrations

To better understand the implications of Section 498A, let us consider a few examples:

Physical Violence: A husband physically abuses his wife, leaving her with visible injuries and causing immense pain. Such acts of physical cruelty are punishable under Section 498A.

Emotional Abuse: A woman’s in-laws constantly berate and humiliate her for not bringing enough dowry. They subject her to mental harassment, including threats of divorce and abandonment. This emotional abuse falls within the ambit of Section 498A.

Economic Exploitation: The husband and his family demand additional dowry from the woman’s family and threaten her with dire consequences if their demands are not met. This act of coercion and exploitation is covered by Section 498A.



Bail in Section 498A

Bail under Section 498A is a significant consideration for individuals who have been arrested but have not yet been convicted of a crime. In India, offences that carry a punishment of three years or less in prison or a fine are considered bailable.

Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code, enacted in 1860, is a non-compoundable and cognizable offence. Bail under Section 498A can only be granted by the Magistrate once a First Information Report (FIR) has been registered by the police based on a complaint filed by the aggrieved party.

However, due to various Supreme Court rulings, such as the [*Arnesh Kumar*](#) and [*Rajesh Sharma*](#) cases, the number of intimidating arrests made under Section 498A has been reduced.

To prevent unnecessary arrests under Section 498A, amendments have been made to the Code of Criminal Procedure. The police are now obligated to inform the accused about their duty to cooperate in investigations under Section 41A of the CrPC.

If the accused continues to cooperate and appear before the investigating officer when requested, arrests under Section 498A are rare. Additionally, alternative procedures have been introduced, such as pre-trial mediation through the CAW Cell/Mahila Thana, allowing parties to resolve their disputes before filing an FIR.

While the complainant cannot withdraw the FIR once it is filed, the High Courts have the power under [*Section 482 of the CrPC*](#) to quash the FIR. In cases where an accused seeks anticipatory bail, the court may impose certain conditions, such as depositing a demand draft equivalent to the required maintenance for the wife or other dependents.

Latest Case Laws on Section 498A of IPC

In the case of [*Kahkashan Kausar v. State of Bihar*](#), the Supreme Court of India ruled that the relatives of the husband cannot be compelled to undergo a trial in the absence of specific allegations of dowry demands. The court emphasized that subjecting individuals to a criminal trial, which may eventually result in an acquittal, can have lasting negative consequences and should be discouraged.

In this recent judgment on Section 498A, the Supreme Court acknowledged that the process of a criminal trial itself can cause severe harm and leave a lasting impact on the accused. The court highlighted the need to consider the potential consequences of false or unsubstantiated allegations, recognizing the importance of balancing the rights of the accused with the protection of victims.

In the case of [*Meera v. State*](#), the Supreme Court made a significant ruling emphasizing the seriousness of an offence when a woman subjects another woman, specifically her daughter-in-law, to cruelty. The court stated that such an offense should not be treated leniently. Instead, it stressed that the mother-in-law has a responsibility to protect her daughter-in-law rather than harass her.

Due to the nature of cruelty cases under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code, which primarily occur within the context of a matrimonial home, the witnesses involved in these cases are often closely related to each other through blood ties or marriage. As a result, they are considered interested parties, and their statements may or may not be entirely reliable for the judges to rely upon.

However, in the case of **Surendran v. State of Kerala**, the Supreme Court reaffirmed the well-established legal principle that “the evidence provided by related or interested witnesses cannot be disregarded solely on that basis.” Nevertheless, as a matter of prudence, the court may subject the evidence provided by such witnesses to a more thorough scrutiny.

in the case of **Rajaram v. State of M.P.**, the husband of the victim appealed against his conviction under IPC Section 498A. The case revolved around the tragic death of the victim, who was brought to the hospital in a severely burnt condition and subsequently passed away. During the investigation, two dying declarations were recorded by the authorities.

The first dying declaration provided details about the victim being burned with kerosene oil, but it did not mention the appellant, who was the victim’s husband. In contrast, the second dying declaration implicated the husband and other family members, stating previous acts of cruelty. However, the High Court discredited the second dying declaration as the only piece of evidence against the husband. Consequently, the Court set aside the conviction and sentence for cruelty under Section 498A.

This case highlights the significance of dying declarations as evidence in Section 498A cases. Dying declarations hold immense evidentiary value since they are made by the victim when facing imminent death and are admissible under **Section 32(1) of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872**. However, in this instance, the High Court questioned the credibility of the second dying declaration due to its contradictory nature compared to the first dying declaration, which did not mention the appellant. The Court considered the inconsistency and concluded that discrediting the second dying declaration weakened the prosecution’s case against the husband.

Conclusion

Section 498A of the IPC plays a vital role in safeguarding married women from cruelty and abuse. By recognizing the vulnerabilities faced by women within the institution of marriage, this provision serves as a deterrent against domestic violence and dowry-related offenses. It

encourages women to report instances of cruelty, promotes gender equality, and helps in curbing the societal menace of dowry.