CASE LAW UPDATES

Top 10 Supreme Court Judgments: April 2026

shruti chauhan · 13 Aug 2026

CLAT PG doesn't reward knowing every case that hit the headlines in a month rather it rewards knowing the ones that actually test a doctrine: separation of powers, Article 21's expanding scope, the limits of Article 142, criminal sentencing principles, and the constitutional reach of "continuing mandamus." April 2026 delivered a genuinely strong batch of these.

This Article picks the **10 judgments from April 2026 with the highest relevance**, the kind that could show up as a comprehension passage or a legal reasoning proposition and explains each one in plain language with its full citation. After that, you'll find a **bonus section of other legal updates** from the month that are worth knowing but sit lower on the exam-relevance scale.

The 10 Judgments You Should Actually Remember

1. Road Safety Is Now Part of Article 21

Acting on its own earlier cognisance of a highway tragedy that killed 34 people in Rajasthan and Telangana in November 2025, a bench of Justices J.K. Maheshwari and A.S. Chandurkar held that commuter safety is an inseparable part of the right to live with dignity under Article 21. The right to life, the Court said, is not just a shield against unlawful killing, it is a positive obligation on the State to keep people safe. This is a clean example of the Court reading a new positive obligation into Article 21, a pattern CLAT PG loves to test.

Why it matters for CLAT PG: Tests the evolving, non-exhaustive interpretation of Article 21- a recurring theme across decades of constitutional law questions.

Case: Phalodi Accident, In re, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 646

2. Courts Cannot Invent New Crimes : A Textbook Separation-of-Powers Ruling

Dismissing a plea asking the Court to direct fresh legislation on hate speech and rumour-mongering, Justices Vikram Nath and Sandeep Mehta held that **creating offences and**

prescribing punishment is Parliament's job, not the judiciary's. This is one of the cleanest recent statements of the separation-of-powers doctrine as applied to criminal law-making exactly the kind of proposition CLAT PG legal reasoning questions are built around.

Why it matters for CLAT PG: Directly tests separation of powers and the limits of judicial law-making, a favourite jurisprudence and constitutional law theme.

Case: Ashwini Kumar Upadhyay v. Union of India, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 741

3. 30% Women's Representation in Bar Associations Is Now Mandatory

Building on its earlier order of 13 March 2026, a three-judge bench led by CJI Surya Kant, with Justices Joymalya Bagchi and Vipul M. Pancholi, warned that any Bar Association across India that fails to comply with the 30% women's representation mandate risks judicial suspension and fresh elections.

Why it matters for CLAT PG: Connects Article 14/15 equality jurisprudence with institutional reform of the Bar useful for both constitutional law and legal profession/ethics sections.

Case: Deeksha Amrutesh v. State of Karnataka, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 666

4. A Transgender Candidate's Right to Apply for Any Government Post

In a case brought by a transgender candidate challenging a gender-restrictive teaching recruitment notice, Justices J.B. Pardiwala and K.V. Viswanathan permitted the petitioner to apply for the post regardless of the gender it was notified for a natural extension of the *NALSA v. Union of India* line of reasoning on gender identity and equal access to public employment.

Why it matters for CLAT PG: Builds on *NALSA* (2014), a case every CLAT PG aspirant must already know shows how that precedent keeps getting applied in new fact patterns.

Case: Jane Kaushik v. Lieutenant Governor, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 595

5. Disabled Prisoners' Rights Folded Into a National Oversight Mechanism

Rather than deciding piecemeal, Justices Vikram Nath and Sandeep Mehta expanded the mandate of a High-Powered Committee originally set up for reforming open correctional institutions, so it

now also examines institutional safeguards for prisoners with disabilities under the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016.

Why it matters for CLAT PG: Tests Article 21's application to custodial settings and the Court's use of continuing-mandamus-style oversight committees, a useful companion to prison-reform case law like *Sunil Batra*.

Case: Sathyan Naravoor v. Union of India, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 650, expanding Suhas Chakma v. Union of India, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 317

6. RTE Admission: Once the State Allots a Seat, the School Must Honour It

A private school in Uttar Pradesh tried to refuse a student allotted under the Right to Education Act's neighbourhood-school scheme. Justices P.S. Narasimha and Alok Aradhe held that once a state authority allots a child under the prescribed RTE procedure, the school cannot sit in appeal over that decision.

Why it matters for CLAT PG: A direct application of Article 21A and the RTE Act, 2009- high-yield for education-law and fundamental-rights questions.

Case: Lucknow Public School v. State of U.P., 2026 SCC OnLine SC 723

7. A Structured Framework for Death Sentence Mitigation

In a case involving a death reference from Bihar, a three-judge bench of Justices Vikram Nath, Sandeep Mehta and Vijay Bishnoi stayed an execution and laid down a comprehensive framework for how trial courts must weigh mitigating and aggravating circumstances before imposing a death sentence, flagging the chronic lack of proper legal representation at the sentencing stage.

Why it matters for CLAT PG: Builds on the *Bachan Singh* "rarest of rare" doctrine- a near-certain topic in any criminal law or constitutional law paper touching capital punishment.

Case: Aman Singh v. State of Bihar, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 720

8. A Wife Cannot Be Prosecuted for "Giving" Dowry Based Solely on Her Own Statement

In a matrimonial dispute where a husband tried to prosecute his wife and her family for "giving" dowry, relying only on their own complaint statements, Justices Sanjay Kumar and K. Vinod Chandran held that the wife and her family being the very persons the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 is meant to protect are shielded by statutory immunity under Section 7(3) and cannot be prosecuted on that basis alone.

Why it matters for CLAT PG: Tests statutory interpretation of a welfare legislation (Dowry Prohibition Act) and the "victim vs offender" distinction- a classic legal-reasoning fact pattern.

Case: Rahul Gupta v. Station House Officer, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 604

9. Article 142 Used to Dissolve a Marriage and Quash 80+ Vexatious Cases

In a long-running matrimonial dispute, Justices Vikram Nath and Sandeep Mehta invoked Article 142 to dissolve a marriage on the ground of irretrievable breakdown, quash over 80 litigations filed by the husband against his wife, her relatives, and even her lawyers, and award a consolidated ₹5 crore settlement- while permanently restraining further litigation between the parties.

Why it matters for CLAT PG: Article 142's "complete justice" power and the judicially recognised ground of irretrievable breakdown of marriage (still absent from the Hindu Marriage Act itself) are recurring family-law and constitutional-law exam themes.

Case: XXX v. YYY, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 544

10. Delhi's Pollution Charge Hiked Under a Decades-Old PIL

In the long-running *M.C. Mehta* environmental litigation, a three-judge bench led by CJI Surya Kant approved a revised, higher Environment Compensation Charge for commercial vehicles entering Delhi, with a built-in 5% annual increase effective from 1 April 2026- the latest chapter in one of India's oldest and most significant continuing-mandamus PILs.

Why it matters for CLAT PG: *M.C. Mehta* is foundational to Indian environmental law and PIL jurisprudence (absolute liability, polluter pays, continuing mandamus) this April 2026 order is simply the newest data point in a case every aspirant should already know by name.

Case: M.C. Mehta v. Union of India, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 635

Other Important Legal Updates From April 2026

- The “rats ate the bribe money” bail order – Justices J.B. Pardiwala and K.V. Viswanathan granted bail after the prosecution couldn’t explain the disappearance of seized cash, blaming rodents. A viral moment, but low doctrinal weight. (Aruna Kumari v. Economic Offences Unit, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 719)
- Pawan Khera granted anticipatory bail in a defamation case linked to remarks about Assam CM Himanta Biswa Sarma’s wife. (Pawan Khera v. State of Assam, SLP (Crl.) No. 7786/2026)
- CBI ordered to probe favouritism allegations against the Arunachal Pradesh CM in public contract allotments.(Save Mon Region Federation v. State of A.P., 2026 SCC OnLine SC 526)
- Anticipatory bail denied to an accused with 22 prior FIRs – criminal antecedents alone were held sufficient grounds. (Sharad Sehgal v. State of U.P., 2026 SCC OnLine SC 740)
- High Courts can’t order “surrender first, bail later” while hearing anticipatory bail pleas. (Om Prakash Chhawnika v. State of Jharkhand, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 676)
- Illegal sand mining in the Chambal Sanctuary– sweeping enforcement directions issued to MP, Rajasthan, and UP to protect the endangered gharial. (Illegal Sand Mining in National Chambal Sanctuary, In re, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 631)
- Arbitration clarifications– April, 2026 was a busy month for arbitration law: a Section 16 jurisdictional rejection isn’t challengeable until the final award (MCM Worldwide v. CIDC, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 717); the word “can” in an arbitration clause doesn’t create a binding obligation to arbitrate (NagreeKa Indcon Products v. Cargocare Logistics, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 630); and even a losing party can invoke Section 9 post-award (Home Care Retail Marts v. Haresh N. Sanghavi, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 670).
- Air Force officer’s decades-old dismissal set aside after the Court held a criminal-court discharge barred later disciplinary action on the same facts. (Ex. Sqn. Ldr. R. Sood v. Union of India, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 590)
- Sabarimala reference – the nine-judge Constitution Bench heard arguments for ten days in April but did not deliver its verdict during the month; judgment was eventually reserved in mid-May 2026 after further hearings.

Preparing for CLAT PG? Our monthly case-law Articles are built specifically around exam relevance drawn from each month's top judgments.