BLOGS

Understanding the Intersection of Intellectual Property and AI

Khushi Malviya · 14 Jul 2023

Edit Introduction Leveraging AI to enhance IP Management Intersection between IP and Artificial Intelligence Can AI get IP Protection? Conclusion

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has revolutionized various industries and is poised to have a profound impact on society, economy, and law. It has transformed the way humans work by replacing manual labor with algorithmic processes for efficient data retention and retrieval.

As AI continues to advance, there is a growing need to consider its role in administering intellectual property (IP). AI operates on algorithms, which are step-by-step procedures that guide machines in processing data. These algorithms can perform complex calculations, generate automated reasoning, and even create new algorithms. However, this raises the question of ownership and patent rights for AI-generated algorithms. Current IP laws are not well-equipped to address this issue.

Overall, this article seeks to shed light on the complex relationship between AI and IP, highlighting the need for legal frameworks to adapt to the evolving landscape of AI technology.

Leveraging AI to enhance IP Management

As we increasingly rely on technology and seek faster results, AI is becoming an integral part of our daily lives. AI tools like Siri and Alexa already assist us with natural language processing.

In the next 5-10 years, AI is expected to be omnipresent and will have a significant impact on the field of IP. It will streamline tasks such as IP portfolio management, patent searching, trademark clearing, and drafting agreements, leading to easier and more cost effective granting of patents and trademarks.

The growth of AI will go hand in hand with the growth of the IP sector, encouraging innovation by enhancing transparency, reducing costs, minimizing errors, and simplifying the process. While the possibility of AI owning IP cannot be dismissed, it would require a redefinition of ownership and inventorship in IP laws, along with a deeper understanding of algorithms and the distinction between AI and its developers.

AI has significant potential in streamlining IP administration by automating tasks such as patent searching and trademark clearance. It can enhance efficiency, reduce costs, and eliminate errors through machine learning methods.

With the continuous growth of IP, fueled by advancements in technology and computational knowledge, AI can play a crucial role in managing the expanding IP portfolios. By introducing transparency and accountability, AI can revolutionize the granting of patents and make legal services and databases more accessible to those who cannot afford them.

Intersection between IP and Artificial Intelligence

According to the Supreme Court of India, IP refers to intangible rights protecting valuable creations of human intellect. While the law does not explicitly state whether IP should be limited to human creations, the general principles of IP law do not prohibit granting IP rights to non-human entities, including AI.

The Supreme Court of India has recognized the concept of legal personality being conferred upon entities beyond humans, as long as the purpose for conferring legal personality is realized. Therefore, it can be argued that if AI contributes to significant advancements, such as developing life-saving drugs, it should be treated similarly to a human creator.

However, the question of AI ownership and inventorship requires further consideration from legal authorities. Lawyers have a crucial role to play in shaping the legal framework and ensuring that appropriate laws are in place to harness the benefits of AI in our society.

Significant technological developments, such as the broad adoption of AI across numerous sectors, have occurred under India’s development paradigm. Challenges arise from the lack of explicit regulations to control AI.

The complexity of AI cannot be adequately addressed by the existing patent and copyright regulations. Computer programmes and business procedures are not regarded as patentable

innovations under the Patents Act of 1970, and human authors are the only ones who can be protected by copyright rules.

The protection of AI-generated works is hampered by this imbalance, which also poses liability issues. It is crucial to reform regulations to include AI under the Indian intellectual property regime in order to encourage innovation and prevent future legal disputes.

Can AI get IP Protection?

AI has become an integral part of our society, permeating various sectors and industries. Its advancements have led to a reliance on AI for a wide range of tasks, from entertainment and art to defense and weaponry.

However, as AI becomes more autonomous and capable of independent decision-making, questions arise regarding its role as an owner or inventor of the creations it produces. The distinction between owner and inventor is crucial, as ownership refers to those who hold proprietary rights, while inventorship pertains to those who contribute to the creation of an invention.

Recognizing AI as an inventor or owner is a complex and globally debated issue. While AI can generate subject matter eligible for IP protection, such as artwork, literature, designs, and even innovative technologies or pharmaceutical treatments, the legal frameworks surrounding AI and IP vary across countries.

In India, for instance, the laws restrict inventorship and ownership to human or legal persons, excluding AI from such recognition. Although there have been cases where AI was acknowledged as a co-author or inventor, these instances face potential challenges and invalidation.

The European Patent Office (EPO) and the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) have rejected AI-related patent applications, emphasizing that AI does not qualify as a natural person or a real entity. The UK Intellectual Property Office (UKIPO) has recognized the involvement of AI but highlighted the need to address the challenges AI poses within the existing patent system.

Different countries have taken contrasting approaches. Discussions and research are necessary to determine the status of AI as an inventor or a legal entity. The global perspective on AI varies significantly, as exemplified by the recognition of Sophia, a humanoid, as a citizen of the UAE,

while other developed countries reject the notion of AI as a legal entity.

Clarifying the legal and ethical implications of AI's role in IP ownership and inventorship is imperative as society continues to grapple with the transformative power of AI in shaping our creative and technological landscape.

Conclusion

The creation of appropriate legal frameworks has become necessary due to the quick adoption of AI in our daily lives. It poses particular issues in the area of IPR, necessitating explicit norms and regulations.

Understanding the complexities of AI presents significant challenges for developing nations like India. Some of the issues are addressed by current court rulings, but the IPR laws need to be changed. Adopting AI can improve future inventions, but for implementation to be successful, both controlled regulations and upgraded infrastructure are needed.